### ne či assielen

ITES OF Y

Settle M. 1150

March 26, 1962

Time: 10:30 e.m.

Place: Soviet Mission,

Germany and Berlin

<u>u.s.</u> <u>u.s.s.r.</u>

Hr. Kobler Hr. Semenov Hr. Bohlem Hr. Ecvalev Ambassador Thompson Hr. Zolotov Hr. Akalovsky

COPIES TO: S/S 10

S/S 10
G Amembassy PARIS for USRO, Stoessel & Maguire
S/P Amembassy LONDON Defense/ISA
S/B Amembassy MOSCOW White House
EUR Amembassy BONN

EUR Amembassy BONN BTF US Mission Berlin

Hr. Semenov opened by saying it had been agreed to meet today because ">.
Hr. Kohler wished to reise some additional points in a preliminary way before the two Forsign Ministers met.

Nr. Coller said we had reviewed the records of the last two meetings of its group and it seemed that we had gone over the papers of the two tides in great datail. But much was to be added with regard to the Soviet paper. We continued to feal there was considerable difference between the Soviet paper or principles and ours. Our paper provided for seasthing our foreign finishingers could reach agreement oursers descensive, he was not not that this difference his interest could be continued to the said of the continued to the continued of the could not accept, but provided points both sides could agree upon and a basic for further discussion.

Mr. Cohler the supressed the hope that Mr. Sensor and he could discuss the underlying and foredements problem so that our Foreign Ministers could deal with them rather than with points of detail. So observed that unless there was represented for inser with regards the satternatives the Secretary had matteood it was hard owns to see where we used go firm here. Mr. Echler added that the Secretary hadey that at his meanting with Groupto he could see where we stook that he could go beak and report to the President and, if developments required, to commute with he allies, prophigg hept, high wight [1616.07].

Kr. Schlen said be wished to make a low commons with regard to the nature

# BECKE SERVICE

- 2 -

of the U.S. paper. So said that after the first few constructions here it had become appearent to us that the differences between the two fields were great and that there was little likelihood of reaching agreement on substance here is Gossen. For this reason, we believed that is the given situation it was useful to draw up a paper including points relating to a few general principles on which there appeared to be not diagreement and providing a form for further discussions. The U.S. paper, while leaving out the U.S. positions an abstance, had month substance to error as a basic for further discussions. It was been that the basic different discussions. It was been that the basic different provided the provided of the discussions of the discussi

\*\* The Sementy observed that the U.S. side had advantised its draft principles from many engine and he, of course, suderated that the U.S. like its paper. The levies side had expressed its views on the U.S. paper dering the mentings he contained the second of the U.S. position on specific issues one at two understand its internal inject. Se each had done wish to predict what Wr. Gromyto would do when he returned to Noscom, but marely he would wish to report to his odd of the trends which had been discremed it these convexations exist them.

Hr. Smenor stated it was not that the URR merely liked its paper on principles but also that it believed that the principles set forth in that paper were in the interest of pascs and European nesurity. The quantion of how those principles should be formulated use a different matter, but the questions of a pascs continued to the continued of a pascs or pasty and of sormulating the West Switch services of the continued of the continued of the continued to the continued of the continued of the continued to the continued of the continued of the continued to the continued of the con

As to fundamental questions, <u>Hr. Semmor</u> actd they had been discussed in this group and be believed best sides had a rather clear picture of each other's positions. He maid be use not quite elect as to what Hr. Echier had in mind on to what this group should deal of the month?

Hr. Schlar responds that he beliaved it used he useful to startly what he toe Fortige Risisters used he stalting about, heaness he was not may shather the fundamental quartee had been startfield; namely, shather we were going to deal with facts, and not neverly feverte facts but all facts. The U.S. was prepared to live in passeful nametreess with the existing elevation, although we did not to that has actuation. Secondary-greating they have to all the date its name to the date of t



- 3 -

On the other hand, the USEA did not appear to be propared to live with the situation involving our presence in Fust Berlin. Mr. Enhier sheared that he found that the foundation of the state of the sta

Ent. Assessor referred to Nr. Kohler's statement that the U.S. had developed to Petrolic Programme and the Petrolic Programme and the Petrolic Programme and the Petrolic Programme and an absermal situation prevailed is Wast beginn and the west facts to consider a state of the Petrolic Programme and the Petrolic Progr

On the other hand, Paragraph 2 in the U.S. paper referred to a unified Germany. The U.S. was either referring to the past and its recollections or was emparing in forecasts, since a united Germany night be possible only in the future, in other words, the U.S. was not preceeding from facts, indirectantly, what the U.S. was asying did not accord with what it was actually doing. Both sides the U.S. was asying did not accord with what it was actually doing. Both sides the U.S. was asying did not accord with what it was actually going. Both sides international relations that the occupation registers was being reasoned in Next. Bartie while it had been abolished throughout the rest of Germany. As to Nr. Bolair's remarks about centilect, Nr. Semons raid he without to 10 it Nr. Collect flight his genti alone. However, one should keep in nind that once the genti was let use to the besties, it might be difficient to put this back into it. The did not wish to participate in make a fight and it was not his problem. Nr. Semons raid that the control of the Collection of results of the Collection of results and the view of the host of the control of the Collection of results of the Collection of

Er, Erhier observed that at least some fundamental questions were being mer discussed. Enfortung to Phangangh J of the U.S. paper, he naid we believed that the heats principle of muffication of Generary an essenting to which belt that the heats principle of muffication of General way as senting to the UER; the UER of the UER of

## DECLAS**M**FIED

a significant emensation to the Soviet Union in omitting reference to free selections, something Heasers. Bulganis and Khrusbchav had muberibed to as recently as in 1855. Since the USST appeared to have changed its sind, we were not forting this issue on it, and this indicated a merhad somession and a step toward meeting the Soviet point of view.

With regard to mub-puregraph 2(b), Mr. Lobler receiled Mr. Sameov's comments on it yestering and said that it use our belief that we showle see whether the Germans, by meeting on a technical and not political heats, could deal with these problems. After ail, Mr. Sameov hissaif had ead that maffectation of Germany was sensiting for the Germans themsitwes to resolve. Therefore, this paragraph was very good managle of how we had taken into secount the situation and the

As to occupation status, Mr. Schier acid it was true that occupation was active in Mest Film. I fact, it was the presence of occupation authorities extended to the status of the status

With regard to the question of pascs treaty, Mr. Schiar receiled his earlier statement that the question was not that of the paper itself, but rather of what actions would follow. Referring to Mr. Semenov's remarks that only scenario who had to this seemss could was any over a pascs treaty. Mr. Solier saids thought only someone who had tout his senses would was a pears treaty as a cover or aggression against the interacts of others. He resistented that the problem was not that of the pascs treaty itself but rather what a pascs treaty would do, and pointed out that this had been stated at the highest leave.

The Lamagor conversed that at his meeting with Mr. Schler on Saturday has been depressed the Borsti tieres on the U.S. paragraph 2 in great detail and had notated the Borsti posttion and the heats for it. He restricted that remainfeation reach agreement on this problem. The fact use that there were two Genma states, one capitalist and one occidite, and that they were developing in over more diverging directions, although the SER had made a number of proposals alond at deverging directions, although the SER had made a number of proposals alond at calculations and the second states, and the second states of the s

Referring

. . . Eleforrise to Mr. Echler's statements about vital interests, Mr. Somenov asserted a peace treaty was not directed against such intersets and would not undermine them. Its only purpose was to draw a line under World War II and to do away with the westiges of that war. Soviet vital interests, as well as United States vital interests, required that a new war be prevented.

Mr. Echler interjected that this was correct and this was what the two sides ware talking about,

Fir. Semanov agreed but said that the two sides had a different understanding of the situation. He want on to say that the Soviet Union believed that the present developments led with logical inevitability to an increase in the danger of a new war breaking out. A war we did not want must be prevented before it was too inte. Therefore, a peace treaty should be signed.

He went on to say that the U.S. raised a different question, which was of a periphere; and limited mature, i.e., the question of the presence of Western forces in West Berlin, whereas the USSE had raised the seneral and fundamental problem. Both sides had an obligation to sign s peace treaty, but somehow the United States did not like to remember this obligation. Of course, that obligation should be fulfilled on the basis of existing facts.

Referring to Mr. Kohler's remark that the Western movers had prevented West Berlin from becoming a Land of the GDR, Mr. Semenov seserted that this was true only on paper and that both sides knew that the Wast had done averything to turn West Berlin into such in fact. He margabrased a reserk he attributed to Edward Sernstein, claiming that the United States was in effect saying: "Dear Willy (Brandt), one does it, but one doesn't telk about it, and much iese put it on Baser."

Mr. Somenov continued that the Soviet Union agreed with what the U.S. had said in theory, sessely, that meacaful soczistence should be established, that access could be reconciled with the severeignty of the GDR, and that there were three independent entities in Germany, as well as with some other points mentioned before. He could associate himself with all this, but what must be done now was to mut all this into practice.

He asserted that paragraph 2 of the U.S. draft appeared to be designed for a different murrous, money, to gatch headlines in the mapers. Yet propagands was not our business hers; our business was to look for the bringing of the interests of our two sides sloser together and for the strongthoning of peace.

SECALT SECRET

Ht., Ichigir maid he was surprised at this reaction to paragraph 2, insemanch as it want 6 lang way toward the Service portions at the half been stated both privately and publically. However, since the reaction was segarity, he emposed that it was in fact segarity. See deading segarity has propagated and soid he was gird Mr. Semanch had real-sed the subject of propagated. Our task for our case for our cas

Mr. Lobler said he wished to wake a few observations of the final perseraphs of the U.S. paper. While Mr. Semenov : d siready made some comments on those paragraphs, he wished to be in a position, a report to the Secretary. With regard to nuclear diffusion, Mr. Kohler said this formulation had been completely agreed in New York. Both he and Mr. Schles had bean present at the meeting in New York and receiled that Mr. Gromyko had asked for a caraful rapetition of the translation of the formule. The present inneuers reflected the same position. With reference to non-aggression, Mr. Kohler said we believed that in view of the fact that we were not prepared to recognize the GDR, legal formalization in the same of accession to this commitment by other parties would have to be worked out leter. However, we were preserted to meet the Soviet view as far as substance was concarned. As to frontiers and demarkstion limes, we were prepared to detlare that we would not use force to change them. Thus, in this respect, we were also meeting the Soviat wishes. Mr. Kohler then invited Mr. Semency to make further comments on these paragraphs and express his thoughts on what should be discussed between the Foreign Ministers.

Thr. Sepanor thanked Nr. Kohlar for electifications on these points and said he had stated his views pesterday and, therefore, there was no need for repeating them.

As to the question of drawing a line under World Har II, the Butted States sommed to believe it was a singam, but for the MBR; it was now at a singam. For the MBR; it was remainly in the light of the development taking place in their com neighborhood. So magneted that perhaps the two rides inshed at the situation from different approaches that the state of the state

Latering to Mr. Collect's "middle ship in a first being the Mr. Sensor and hat wenter should be shaded in the Mr. Sensor and hat wenter should be shaded in the Mr. Sensor and hat wenter for severetations and comb rate about the first and the Middle should be the middle ship the color in the firmment of the general sensor. He shaded that arranges was the beginning of knowledge, knowledge, knowledge, and must not remark too long in that state of understanding the world.

## DECLASSIFIED

An soncission, Mr. Semenor thanked Mr. Kohier and his associates for these emchanges of views and the planant and becauselish sancephere in shich they had been re-dected. He expressed the view that these talks night be slightly to the orthorize of the relations between our two countries. He said be was not sure as there the two sides had perfectly understood each other, but both of them had seemed to try to do so.

- 7 -

Mr. Kohlar reciprocated Mr. Semenov's remarks about the businessiike atmosphere and expressed the view that these talks had clarified the positions to some extent.

03046587030